



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,226	01/22/2001	Osamu Ohara	2534USOP	5358
23115 75	590 09/24/2002			
		EXAMINER		
09/744,226 01/22/2001	TMENT	WEGERT, SANDRA L		
	RE, IL 60069		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1647	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/744,226	OHARA ET AL.	OHARA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sandra Wegert	1647		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the c rrespondence address -	-	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of Id will apply and will expire SIX (6) No ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	<u>1 May 2000</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			ts is	
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-16}$ is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	•		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • •	•		
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •			
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	gn priority under 35 U.S.O	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No		
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International life the attached detailed Office action for a life.	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).	
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •			
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_•	
J.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper I	No. 9	

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a receptor polypeptide.
- II. Claims 3-7 and 14-16, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding a receptor, complimentary nucleic acids, an expression vector, a recombinant host cell, and a method of producing a peptide recombinantly.
- III. Claim 8, drawn to an antibody against a polypeptide.
- IV. Claim 9, drawn to a method of identifying ligands for a receptor polypeptide.
- V. Claim 10, drawn to a method of identifying compounds which alter ligand binding to the receptor polypeptide
- VI. Claim 11, drawn to a kit for screening for compounds that alter ligand binding to the receptor polypeptide.

Art Unit: 1647

VII. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to a compound that alters ligand binding to the receptor polypeptide.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The first claimed invention lacks a special technical feature because it fails to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art (e.g., Lelianova, et al, 1997). The prior art discloses an LTX receptor that meets the limitations of the receptor recited in the first claimed invention. Therefore, none of the other claimed inventions can share a special technical feature with the first claimed invention.

Inventions I, II, III, VI and VII are independent and distinct, each from each other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged. The protein of Invention I can be made by another and materially different process such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. The polynucleotide of Invention II can be used to make a hybridization probe, or can be used in gene therapy as well as to produce the protein of Group II. The antibody of Invention III can be used to immunoprecipitate the protein of interest. Furthermore, the peptides of Invention I are related to the methods of invention II as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05 (f)). In the instant case, the peptide may be isolated from its natural source or made by chemical synthesis.

Art Unit: 1647

Invention I is related to Inventions IV and V as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the receptor polypeptide can be used to generate antibodies, as well as to search for ligands, or secondarily to search for compounds that alter ligand binding.

Invention II is unrelated to Inventions IV and V. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together.

Invention III is unrelated to Inventions IV and V. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together.

The methods of Inventions IV and V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps, goals and resultant products.

Invention IV is related to Invention VI as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

Art Unit: 1647

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products of the kit can be used to generate antibodies, as well as to search for ligands of the disclosed polypeptide. If the kit is comprised of antibodies, it can be used for *in situ* localization of the receptor polypeptide.

Inventions IV and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the compound of Group VII is not produced by the methods of Group IV.

Inventions VI and VII are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the compound that alters ligand binding may be found by means other than with the claimed kit.

Furthermore, a secondary restriction is required under 35 USC 121 and 372 as follows:

A) One sequence from the following: SEQ ID NO: 1-6.

Each sequence named above is independent and distinct, each from the other, because each has an independent and distinct chemical structure. Their searches are non-overlapping, resulting in an undue search burden.

Art Unit: 1647

In order to be fully responsive, Applicant must pick one Inventive Group from I-VII above, and *one* sequence from: SEQ ID NO: 1-6.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search requirements, and different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (703) 308-9346. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SLW

September 20, 2002.

GARY KUNZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER THE HINDLOGY CENTER 1600